

EFFECT OF AGE AND SEX OF RABBIT ON NUTRIENT INTAKE AND DIGESTIBILITY

Ibrahim Bala Salisu and Grace T. Iyeghe-Erakpotobor

Department of Animal Science,
Bayero University Kano,
Nigeria.

Abstract

A total of 24 cross breed rabbits progenies from mating between New Zealand white x California and California x Chinchilla breed were used to study the influence of sex and age of rabbit on nutrient intake and digestibility. Concentrate meal containing 18.8% CP and 2500kcalME/kg mixed with groundnut forage meal to make complete diet was used to feed the rabbits. Digestibility study was conducted at 15 weeks old and 19 weeks old respectively for male and female rabbits. The result on dry matter, (DM) crude protein (CP) ether extract (EE), crude fiber (CF) and nitrogen free extract (NFE) digestibility showed that the digestibility did not differ at both 15th and 19th weeks of age respectively and with regards to sex of rabbits ($P>0.05$). There were significant differences ($P<0.05$) in body weight and metabolic weight with regards to the sex with the female being heavier than the male. But the fecal output was higher in male ($P<0.05$) than in female.

Key words: Forage meal- Sex- Age-Groundnut- Rabbits- Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

In the developing country like Nigeria, there is inadequate supply of animal protein sources and as such protein consumption is below the recommend range. An average Nigerian consumes only about a quarter of his minimum daily animal protein requirement[23]. This could be attributed to the low level of animal production. Food and Agricultural Organization [9] reported that out of the 44g protein supply per caput, animal products constitute about 2% leading to malnutrition and under nutrition of all age groups in Nigeria. To overcome the animal protein insufficiency, the need to improve on the feeding management and productive performance of livestock in Nigeria becomes imperative.

The prolific nature of rabbit coupled with its short gestation period and generation intervals make it the animal device for multiplication. Increased rabbit production could bridge the supply – demand protein gap for subsistence protein production[4]. Domestic rabbit production had also witnessed its problems. Amongst others, the task of producing adequate nutrition has continually militated against the development of this livestock. Compared the meat of other small livestock species, rabbit meat is rich in proteins, certain vitamins and minerals, it contains little fat and higher proportion of essential polyunsaturated linoleic fatty acid [3].

The rabbit *Oryctolagus cuniculus* is herbivorous non ruminant animal, they are not as efficient as ruminants in digesting fiber component of the diet [17]. Forages are essential to rabbit for their roughage and vitamin value if commercial pellets and mashes consist of only grains concentrates. In addition, inclusion of forages greatly economized the amount of concentrates feed. Forages are offered to rabbits as fresh, dry (hay) or meal. Studies have shown that whether offered separately or in complete diets as meal, forages elicit growth response in rabbits [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Studies have also shown that performance of rabbit is better in diets containing less than 15% crude fiber than on higher fiber diets [7, 8]. Forages are cheaper than concentrate and are available in plentiful supply in the tropics. It is therefore necessary to investigate their use in feeding rabbits. Some tropical forage has been evaluated for feeding rabbits and there are wide variations in their intake and digestibility [15]. The present experiment was designed to evaluate the influence of age and sex on nutrients intake and digestibility in rabbits fed with groundnut forages meal at different level of inclusion 0, 10, and 20% to replace concentrate meal in complete diets.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study site

The experiment was conducted in the Rabbitry of the National Animal Production Research Institute, Shika, Nigeria (10°11' N and 7°8' E, 650 m above sea level).

Experimental animals

Total of 24 cross bred rabbits, progenies from mating between New Zealand white x California and California x Chinchilla breeds were used for this study.

Experimental diets and feeding

The concentrate meal contained 18.8CP and 2500KcalME/Kg. Groundnut forage meal was used to replace the concentrate meal at 0, 10, and 20% level to form complete diets. The forage (groundnut haulms) was collected at harvest, dried and hand chopped prior to the feeding. Rabbits were offered 150g/rabbit/day of feed every morning at 0.800 hours in flat bottom earthen feeders with *ad lib* water supplied daily.

Experimental procedures

The rabbits were weighed at the start of the trial and then at weekly intervals during the study. The rabbits were housed and fed individually in all meal cages measuring 60cm x 60cm x 50cm. The feed and water were supplied in earthen flat bottom pots with curved tips to reduce feed waste. The feeds were weighed before being fed to the rabbits and the left over feed were equally weighed daily before the morning feeding in order to determine the total intake.

Digestibility study

Digestibility study was conducted at 15 and 19 weeks old for four days on each period. Faecal samples were collected daily and stored at - 20°C in deep freezer immediately after collection. At the end of each collection period, the faecal samples were bulked for each animal for proximate analysis according to AOAC procedures[5]. Composition of the diets and groundnut forage meal were determined according to AOAC [5]. Feed and nutrient intake and digestibility coefficient were determined. Metabolic weight was computed as live weight raised to power 0.75.

Digestible energy intake of rabbits was completed according to Schliemann[27].

$$DE = (\text{Kcal/kg/DM/d}) = [(5.28 \times \text{DCP}) + 9.51 \times \text{DEE}] + (4.20 \times \text{DCF}) + (4.20 \times \text{DNFE})$$

Where: DCP = digestible crude protein, DEE = digestible ether extract, DCF = digestible crude fiber, DNFE = digestible nitrogen free extract.

Parameters

The parameters include body weight, feed intake, faecal output, nutrient intake and digestibility.

Table 1: Proximate composition of groundnut forage meal and feed fed to rabbits

Description	%DM	%ASH	%EE	%CF	%CP
Groundnut forage meal	96.82	17.77	8.63	33.18	10.31
0% groundnut forage meal	96.29	6.63	14.86	12.33	18.81
10% groundnut forage meal	96.71	8.03	12.67	14.03	18.63
20% groundnut forage meal	96.71	11.04	11.41	16.48	17.25

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the mean values for the effect of sex of rabbit on feed intake and faecal output. From the table, it can be seen that body weight was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) for female (2.26) compared to that of male (2.02). The mean value obtained on metabolic weight (kg Mwt^{-1}) was also significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) for females compared to that of males. There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the two sexes on both feed intake (g/bwt) and feed intake (g/mwt) though the average mean for the result was higher for male compared to that of female. The mean value for both faecal output (g/bwt) and faecal output (g/mwt) were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) for male

rabbits than for females. Although the mean value of faecal dry matter was higher for males than for females, this difference was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$).

Table 2: Effect of sex of rabbit on feed intake and faecal output

Parameter	Sex		SE	P
	Male	Female		
Body weight (kg)	2.02 ^b	2.26 ^a	0.07	0.021
Metabolic weight (kg)	1.69 ^b	1.85 ^a	0.04	0.0234
Feed intake (g/bwt)	35.75	33.29	3.66	0.639
Feed intake (g/Mwt)	42.05	40.60	3.95	0.798
Faecal output (g/bwt)	7.41 ^a	5.62 ^b	0.50	0.0207
Faecal output (g/Mwt)	8.80 ^a	6.89 ^b	0.61	0.0368
Faecal dry matter	51.86	44.69	4.17	0.239

^{ab}Means bearing different superscript along rows are significantly different ($P<0.05$)

Table 3. Shows the mean values for the effect of age of rabbit on feed intake and faecal output. The result revealed that there were no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in body weight at both 15th and 19th week of age. For the body weight at 19 weeks was higher (2.22) than that obtained at the 15th week of age (2.06), this difference was not statistically significant. The result also indicated that the age of rabbit had no significance influence on the metabolic weight ($P>0.05$) at both ages. The result of this experiment showed that at both 15 and 19 weeks of age, there were no significance differences in feed intake (gDM/bwt) and feed intake (gDM/mwt). Faecal output (g/bwt) and faecal output (g/wmt) were not significantly difference at both ages (15 and 19weeks) although the average mean was higher at 15 weeks of age than those at 19 weeks.

There was significant ($P<0.05$) difference on faecal dry matter at these ages, as it was higher at 19 week (55.29) than at 15 weeks (41.26) of age, this is indicating that, the faecal dry matter increased with increase in age of rabbit.

Table 3: Effect of age of rabbit on feed intake and faecal output

Parameter	Age (weeks)		SE	P
	15	19		
Metabolic weight (kg $Lw^{0.75}$)	2.06	2.22	0.07	0.132
Feed intake (DM/bwt)	36.74	32.57	3.66	0.450
Feed intake (DM/Mwt)	43.20	39.44	3.95	0.510
Faecal output (g/bwt)	6.81	6.22	0.50	0.423
Faecal output (g/Mwt)	8.09	7.59	0.61	0.560
Faecal dry matter (g)	41.26 ^b	55.29 ^a	4.17	0.0276

bwt = body weight, Mwt= Metabolic weight , ^{ab}Means bearing different superscript along rows are significantly different ($P<0.05$)

Table 4 shows the effect of sex of rabbit on digestible nutrient intake and digestibility. From the table, it can be seen that dry matter (DM) intake (g/day) was not significantly different for both sexes.

Although the result indicated higher mean value for female (73.87) than for male (69.12), this difference is not statistically significant ($P>0.05$). The results on crude protein (CP) intake, ether extract (EE) intake, crude fiber (CF) and nitrogen free extract (NFE) intake showed no significant differences for both sexes, despite the fact that there were differences in the result obtained for their mean values, these differences were not statically significant ($p>0.05$). Digestible energy intake (KcalDM/day) was not significantly different ($p>0.05$) for both male and female rabbits, even though the result indicated higher mean values for female (295.50) than for male (266.35) the value was however not significantly different ($p>0.05$).

Table 4: Effect of sex of rabbit on digestible nutrient intake

Nutrient intake (g/day)	Sex		SE	P
	Male	Female		
Dry matter	69.12	73.87	5.17	0.523
Crude protein	12.69	13.40	0.95	0.603
Crude fiber	9.68	10.68	0.84	0.414
Ether extract	9.10	9.51	0.74	0.702
Nitrogen free extract	31.95	33.80	2.4	0.580
Digestible energy (kcalDM/day)	266.35	295.50	26.1	0.439

Table 5: indicates the effect age of rabbit on nutrient intake. The result of this experiment indicated that dry matter intake was not affected by the age of rabbit as the result obtained at 15th and 19th week of age showed significant difference ($P>0.05$). Similarly crude protein intake, crude fiber (CF) intake, ether extract intake and nitrogen free extract (NFE) intake were not significantly different at both ages (15 and 19 weeks old). The age of rabbits also showed no significant difference on digestible energy intake ($p>0.05$).

Table 5: Effect of age of rabbit on nutrient intake

Nutrient intake (g/day)	Age (weeks)		SE	P
	15	19		
Dry matter	72.52	70.47	5.17	0.782
Crude protein	13.25	12.83	0.95	0.759
Crude fiber	10.29	10.07	0.84	0.855
Ether extract	9.45	9.16	0.74	0.787
Nitrogen free extract	33.39	32.36	2.39	0.763
Digestible energy (kcalDM/day)	286.00	275.86	26.1	0.786

Table 6 shows the digestibility (digestibility coefficient) of rabbits. The means values for the dry matter digestibility for both male and female rabbits showed no significant difference ($P>0.05$) of sex. The digestibility coefficient of crude protein of both male and female rabbits was not significantly different from one another, although it was higher for female but statistically there is no difference ($P>0.05$). Similarly crude fiber, ether extract and nitrogen free extract digestibility of male and female rabbits were not significantly different despite that there were differences in those values obtained, however, the differences were not statistically significant ($p>0.05$). Dry matter digestibility (Table 6) does not have any effect on the ages of rabbits. ($p>0.05$). The mean digestibility value was similar at these ages. The table also showed that the mean digestibility value of crude fiber (CF) was higher at

19 week (0.573) than at 15 weeks (0.537) old, the value was however not significantly different ($p>0.05$). Similarly no significant difference was observed in the digestibility of ether extract and nitrogen free extract at these ages. Although there were difference in mean digestibility value of both ether extract and nitrogen free extract, but these differences were not significant ($P>0.05$).

Table 6: Digestibility co-efficient by rabbit

Nutrient (g/day)	Sex		SE	P	Age (weeks)			
	Male	Female			15	19	SE	P
Dry matter	0.763	0.812	0.03	0.267	0.790	0.785	0.03	0.907
Crude protein	0.797	0.835	0.026	0.310	0.8133	0.819	0.026	0.858
Crude fiber	0.513	0.596	0.061	0.348	0.537	0.537	0.061	0.681
Ether extract	0.900	0.923	0.015	0.305	0.908	0.915	0.015	0.729
NFE	0.805	0.851	0.027	0.248	0.840	0.816	0.027	0.537

NFE – Nitrogen Free Extract

DISCUSSION

The result of this study did not show any significant difference in the pattern of feed intake between the male and female rabbits and at both 15 and 19 weeks of age of the rabbits used in this experiment. This result is not in line with the results many researchers in which significant differences were observed in the pattern of feed intake between the two sexes. There was slight effect of gender on productive performance with female showing higher feed intake than the male[22]. Female rabbit pattern of intake was higher than in male[14]. Lazzarrionalso reported higher feed intake by female carmagnola Grey rabbit than the male[21]. In general feed intake of rabbit was higher when fiber content of the diet was increased. Bawa reported higher feed intake of rabbit on groundnut haulms and cowpea shell based diets [6]. The insignificant differences shown by the rabbits in their pattern of feed intake in this study could be due to low feed digestibility or other factors such as breed of the animals. The result obtained from the study showed significant differences in both body weight (kg) and metabolic weight which were high in female than in male, however such differences were not observed due to animal age (i.e. at 15 week and 19 week of age of the rabbits). The higher significant differences obtained with respect to body weight of the sexes of rabbit is contrary with what had been reported in which non significance differences in weight gain was observed between male and female rabbits when groundnut forage meal was fed to the animals[18]. Laxmi[20].also reported non-significant effect of sex on body weight of rabbit at age 4, 8, and 16 weeks, so such significant differences obtained in body weight could be attributed to breed of animal and feed differences among other factors.

Wisema[29], also recorded increase in value of fat digestibility of older chickens, the contradiction from the result of this study with those reported by Zelenka and Wiseman [29,30] .could be attributed to difference in species of animals use in the experiments or due to age differences of the animals used in the experiments.

It is concluded from this study that the age and sex of rabbit had no influence on the nutrient intake and digestibility and that the rabbits perform equally well on groundnut forage meal.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adegbola, T.A., and Osuji, H.N. 1985. The effect of dietary fiber level on dry matter and nutrient digestibility in rabbit, Nig. J. Nutr.Sci. 6(20:113-118).
- [2] Adegbola, T.A., E.U., Tibi and D.C., Asagwa, 198. Feed intake and digestibility of rabbits on all forages plus concentrate and all concentrate diets J. Anim Prod. Res. 5:185 – 191
- [3] Aduku, A.O. and Olukosi, J.O. 1990. Rabbit Managements in the Tropics. Living Book Series, G.U Publications Abuja, FCT. Pp. 1-2

- [4] Aganga AA, Aduku AO, Abdulmalik M and Sekoni A. 1991. Effect of different protein sources and their levels on the reproduction of breeding rabbits. *Journals of Applied Rabbit Research* 14:30-33
- [5] AOAC. 1980. Official Method of Analysis. 13th Edn Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC.
- [6] Bawa, G.S., Ajide, S.O., Adeyinka, I.A., and Ajala, M.K., 2008. Effect of varying level of groundnut haulms and cowpea shells on the performance weaned rabbits. *Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, 3:54-61.
- [7] Cheeke P.R. Patton N.M., Lukefahr S.D. and Monith I.J. 1987. *Rabbit Production*, 6th Edition. The Interstate Printer and Publisher. Inc
- [8] Cheeke P.R., 1981. Rabbit Nutrition and Feeding: Recent advances and future perspective. *J. Applied Rabbit Research* 5(1); 25 – 30
- [9] Cheeke, P.R., Harris D., Patton N.M., 1983. Utilization of tropical forage and alfalfa meal by grower rabbit (Abstract) *Nutrition Abstract and Review Series* B53, 812
- [10] De Blas, J.C., Perez, E., Fraga, M.J., Rodriguez, J.M., and Galves J.F., 1981. Effect of Diet on Feed in take and growth of rabbit from weaning to slaughter at different age and weights. *J. Amin Sci.* 52: 1225 – 1232
- [11] FAO. 1992. Food and Agricultural Organization. Production Year Book 1992, Rome, Italy. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrdzi/8/cont218.html>
- [12] Iyeghe–Erakpotobor G.T., Aliyu R and Uguru J., 2003. Evaluation of Concentrate, grass and legume combinations on performance and nutrient digestibility of grower rabbit under tropical condition. *African journal of biotechnology* Vol. 4 (20): 2004 – 2008.
- [13] Iyeghe–Erakpotobor, G.T., M.E. Abdulmalik , J.O Uguru and F.O Abeke 2002, Determination of Optimum Concentrate and Forage Combination for Small Holder Feeding of Rabbits. *Trop. J. Amin Sci.* 5:181 – 187
- [14] Iyeghe–Erakpotobor. G.T., O.A., Osinowo, M. Abdulmalik and B.I Nwagu, 2001. Evaluation of Growth rates of three breeds of rabbits raised in northern guinea Savvana of Nigeria. *J. Amin. Prod. Res* 17:78 – 88
- [15] Iyeghe–Erakpotobor G.T. 2006. Performance of grower rabbits fed concentrate and stylsanthes (verano) combinations under tropical conditions. *Animals Science Journal.* 77(1):71-78
- [16] Iyeghe–Erakpotobor G.T., 2007, Effect of concentrate and forage type on performance and nutrient digestibility of grower rabbits under sub-humid conditions. *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 2, 3, 125-132.
- [17] Iyeghe–Erakpotobor G.T. & Muhammad I.R., 2008, Intake of tropical grass, legume and grass-legume mixtures by rabbits. *Tropical Grasslands*, 42, 2, 112-119.
- [18] Iyeghe–Erakpotobor GT., 2009, Performance of rabbits on stylo and groundnut haulms. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 36, 2, 288- 296.
- [19] Joyce, J.P., Rattray, P.V., and Parker, J., 1971. The utilization of pasture and barley by rabbits feed intake and live weight gains *New Zealand J., Agric Res.* 14: 173 – 179
- [20] Laxmi P.J, Ramesh Gupta B., GnanaPrakash, M., Ekambaram B. and Dmareswari, P., 2009. A Study on the Performance of Fryer Rabbit Under Different System of Rearing, *Livestock Research for Rural Development.* <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrdzi/8/cont218.htm>
- [21] Lazzaroni C. and Biagini D. 2002; Meat Production, The Camagnola Grey, Rabbit Different, housing and sex effect, action 848 Multi – Facetted Research a Model to Develop Healthy and Safe Production Inspect of animal welfare, 2nd meeting of working group in meat and meat safety 11 – 14th April 2002, Agricultural University of Athens Greece.
- [22] Lazzaroni C., Biagini D. & Lussiana C., 2009, Different rearing systems for fattening rabbits: performance and carcass characteristics. *Meat Science*, 82, 2, 200-204. Doi:10.1016/j.meatsci. Lebas F. (1974). Mortality of suckling baby rabbits. *Cuniculture* 1:8-11
- [23] Lesson S., Summers, J.D., 1997. *Commercial Poultry Nutrition*, 2nd ed. University Books, Guelph Canada 356 pp.
- [24] McDonald, R.A., Edwards, J.F.D., Greenhalgh, C.A., Morgan 1995. *Animal Nutrition* Fifth Edition.
- [25] Oyenuga, V.A. and B.L. Fetuga 1975. Chemical composition digestibility and energy values of some varieties of yam, cassava , swwet potatoes and cocoyams for pigs. *Niger. J. Sci.*, 9: 63-110.

- [26] Raharjo, Y., Cheeke, P.R., Patton, N.M., Supriyati, k., 1986. Evaluation of tropical forages by-products feeds for rabbits productions : 1. Nutrient digestibility and effect of heat treatment. *J. Appl. Rabbit Res.*, 9 (2): 56-66
- [27] Schiemann, R., K. Nehring, L. Hoffmann, W. Jentsch, and A. Chudy. 1972. *Energetische Futterbewertung und Energienomen*. VEB Deutscherlanwirtschatverlag, Berlin
- [28] Wallis I.R., and D. Balnave, 1984. The Influence of Environmental Temperate, age and sex on the digestibility of amino acids in growing broiler chickens *Br. Poult. Sci.* 25: 401 – 407
- [29] Wiseman J., 1997. The Influence of Dietary Factors on Fat and Fatty Acid Digestibility and Utilization. In proceeding of the 11th European symposium on poultry nutrition, World Poultry Science Association, February, Denmark, 34 – 35.
- [30] Zelenka J., Fajmonova E., Blazkova E., 2000. Apparent Digestibility of Fat and Nitrogen Retention in Young Chicks. *Zech J. Amin Sci.* 45, 457 – 462.