# **Journal of Global Biosciences**

ISSN 2320-1355

Volume 4, Number 7, 2015, pp. 2630-2635

Website: www.mutagens.co.in E-mail: submit@mutagens.co.in researchsubmission@hotmail.com



## Research Paper

# Mycoplasma AS A MAJOR PATHOGEN OF RESPIRATORY DISEASE IN CATTLE

Mona Mahdy², Walaa Mohamed², Zeinab Roshdy², Manal abo El Makarem², Kamelia Osman¹ and Ahmed Orabi¹

Department of Microbiology,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
Department of Mycoplasma,
Animal Health and Research Institute, Cairo, Egypt.

#### **Abstract**

This study was carried out on 180 samples including nasal, buccal and ocular swabs from living apparently healthy and diseased cattle, and also 180 samples from lung, trachea and bronchial lymph nodes from slaughtered cattle. After culturing and serotyping identification of isolates obtained from living diseased cattle showed that *M.bovis* was the most prevalent *Mycoplasma* spp. in an incidence of 13.8% followed by *M. arginine* in an incidence of 9.2% then *M.bovirhinis* in an incidence of 3.7,4.6% from nasal and buccal swabs .Also the culturing identification and serotyping of isolates obtained from slaughtered cattle *M.bovis* was the most prevalent *Mycoplasma* spp. in an incidence of 16.9%, from pneumonic lung and 4.6% for both trachea and lymph node samples while *M. arginine* was 9.2%, then *M.bovirhinis* in an incidence of 6.15% from pneumonic lung samples.

#### INTRODUCTION

*Mycoplasma* represent the smallest self-replicating life forms on earth and phylogenetically are related to gram positive eubacteria. They are lacking a cell wall and intracytoplasmic membranes, have only one type of membrane, the plasma membrane (Razin, 1985).

The role of Mollicutes and specifically *Mycoplasma bovis* (MB) as an active agent in cases of bovine respiratory disease (BRD) is poorly defined. Multiple *Mycoplasma* species have been isolated from apparently healthy calves (Thomas A, *et al.* 2002: Wiggins MC, *et al.*2007) and the status of the organism as a primary or secondary pathogen is unclear. The economic impact of mycoplasmosis, however, has been estimated to be significant in the beef industry due to weight loss and health consequences (Nicholas RA, Ayling RD. 2003).

The most commonly encountered pathogenic *Mycoplasma* in cattle that causes significant commercial losses in the cattle industry is due to weight loss and health consequences is *Mycoplasma bovis* (*M. bovis*) (Francoz *et al.* 2005). Several studies have shown that *M. bovis* is the most common bacterium identified in feedlot cattle

affected by chronic pneumonia and in veal calves with fatal bronchopneumonia (Gerchman *et al.* 2009).*M. bovis* is also present in the respiratory tract of healthy cattle where it causes a subclinical upper respiratory infection and may provoke mastitis and metritis in cows and arthritis or tenosynovitis in fattening cattle (Gagea *et al.* 2006). *Mycoplasma* firstly reported in Egypt by El-Ebeedy *et al.* (1985), spread of *Mycoplasma* infection was throughout the Egyptian farms and become endemic in some areas. Various types of *Mycoplasma* were isolated from dairy Friesian cows and buffaloes with mastitis. These *Mycoplasma* included *M.bovis, M bovigenitalium, M.dispar, M.bovirhinis and M. arginini.* Our study aimed for determine Mycoplasma occurrence in cattle suffering from respiratory disease.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

## **Samples**

360 different samples including nasal, buccal, ocular swabs from living apparently healthy and diseased cattle . Lung, trachea and bronchial lymph node samples, from freshly slaughtered cattle.

## Isolation and Identification

The culture medium, culture procedure was as Sabry and Ahmed (1975), purification and maintainace of the isolates were made according to Sabry and Ahmed (1975), genus determination (differentiation between *Mycoplasma* and *Acholeplasma spp.*) was carried out by Digitonin test as described by Freundt et al. (1973) in which the agar plate was inoculated with 0.2ml of the test culture using runing drop technique. A disc impregnated in 1.5% ethanol was pressed in the middle of inoculated area.the plate was incubated at 37°C to be examined for the presence of inhibition which indicate positive test (Mycoplasma) while Acholeplasma are resistant to digitonin. Biochemical characterization was carried out according to Erno and Stipkovits (1973) as followsGlucose fermentation test: 2.9 ml of glucose medium was inoculated with 0.1 ml of the suspected *Mycoplasma* culture, incubated aerobically at 37°C and examined daily for seven days. A vellow color indicate a positive test, Arginine hydrolysis test: 2.9 ml of arginine medium was inoculated with 0.1 ml of the suspected Mycoplasma culture, incubated aerobically at 37°C and examined daily for seven days. A red color indicates a positive test, Film and spot formation test: 0.2 ml of Mycoplasma broth culture was cultivated on heart infusion agar plate which was incubated at 37°C. After 3 days, a glistening layer appeared due to lipase, indicates a positive test.

## *Serotyping of Mycoplasma isolates:*

was applied using growth inhibition test as described by Clyde (1964) using a disc saturated with anti serum that was placed in the middle of suspected agar culture. The presence of inhibition zone indicates a positive result.

## **RESULTS**

From the results recorded in Table 1 it shows that the total number of *Mycoplasma* isolates obtained from both apparently healthy and diseased ,living and slaughtered animals in an incidence of 29.3% from apparently healthy and 40.9% from diseased cattle. *Mycoplasma* were isolated in nasal swabs of diseased animals in an incidence of 62.5% followed by buccal and ocular swab in an incidence of 60% as showed in table (2). *Mycoplasma* isolates were recovered in an incidence of 62% from the examined diseased lung samples and bronchial lymph node while the higher incidence from

trachea in an incidence of 75% as showed in table (3). Result of serotyping showed in table 5 showed that *M.bovis* was the predominant isolated speices in an incidence of 41.5% (27 out of65) ,followed by *M. arginini* in an incidence of 32.3%(21 out of 65) while *M. bovirhinis* were 15.3% and 6.15% for *M. bovoculi* and 1.5% for *M. bovigenitaliumM.bovis* was the predominant isolated species from lung tissue in an incidence of 16.9%,followed by nasal swabs 13.8%,m.arginine while *M.arginine isolation was the same for nasal swabs and lung tissue*(9.2%) for *M.bovirhinis* was isolated from lung samples in an incednce of 6.1%.4M. bovoculi were isolated 3from ocular swabs in an incidence of 4.6%, and one from buccal swabs

#### DISCUSSION

Respiratory disease in cattle is a complex syndrome and its etiology involves many different factors including stress factors, environmental, viral, bacterial and Mycoplasmal infections. Respiratory diseases occurring due to *Mycoplasma* is regarded as the most frequent and serious cause of morbidity, mortality and economic losses. So it is very essential to study the *Mycoplasma spp*. causing respiratory disease in diseased animals as well as from apparently healthy cattle.

In the present investigation regarding the primary isolation from apparently healthy and diseased cattle a total of (44 out of 150) examined samples from apparently healthy cattle with an incidence of 29.3% and (86 out of 210) 40.9% from slaughtered .this result agree with those obtained by Eissa *et al* (2007) who found that the incidence of *Mycoplasma* from private farm was 31.58%.

Applying digitonin test for genus determination and differentiation between *Mycoplasma* and Acheloplasma we found that *Mycoplasma* was isolated from both apparently healthy and diseased cattle in an incidence of (49% from living and 51.4% from slaughtered) this result nearly agree with those obtained by Elzahaby R.A.(2010)that isolate *Mycoplasma* from cattle in an incidence of 66.25%.

Biochemical and serotyping of the isolates revealed different types of *Mycoplasma* spp. Including *M.bovis* 41.5%, M.arginine 32.3%, *M.bovirhinis* 15.3%.several epidemiological studies Hashem (2008), Elzahaby R.( 2010) indicated that M.bovis was the highly isolated *Mycoplasma* spp. From cattle in an incidence of 59.7%, 29.7%respectivelyIn the present study, *M.bovis* was the predominate isolated *Mycoplasma* speices 41.5%, this results agree with El-Shafey D. (2005), Elzahaby R.( 2010), who identified *M. bovis* with highest incidence 29.24%*M.bovis* was the predominate isolated *Mycoplasma* speices isolated from lung of diseased cattle (16.9%)followed by nasal swabs (13.8%) while *M.arginine* isolation was the same for nasal swabs and lung tissue(9.2%). For *M.bovirhinis* 4 of which was isolated from lung samplesin an incidence of 6.15

M.arginine and M.bovirhinis were isolated from both apparently healthy and diseased animals ,this agree with (El Shabiny et al 1999) who mentioned that M.bovirhinis has its normal habitat in the respiratory tract , and with Mosherf (1997) who could isolate M.bovirhinis and M.arginine from apparently normal calves lung Our obtained biochemical results was similar to the results of biochemical characterization that were recorded by several authors as, Hashem (2008) and Elzahaby( 2010) that divided Mycoplasma into 3 biochemical groups based on their biochemical characterization and found that group(3) that indicate the possibility of being M. bovis or M. bovigenitalium was 42.45%. The results of serological identification agree with El-Shafey (2000) who identified M. bovis with highest incidence followed by M. arginini and Mohamed (2002), Hashem (2008) who found that M. bovis was the highly isolated Mycoplasma spp. from

Egyptian cattle. Also the result agree with Tenk *et al* (2004)who found that *M. bovis* was isolated from 59.9% of pneumonic lung samples and 25.2%from lung with normal appearance and Eissa *et al* (1998)who isolated *M. bovirhinis* from cattle in an incidence of 14.13%.

Table (1): Incidence of Positive Isolates From Diseased and Apparently Healthy Living and Slaughtered Primary Isolation

Animal status	Apparently healthy	diseased	total
Total No .of the examined samples	150	210	360
No of positive	44	86	130
incidence	29.3	40.9	3601

Table (2) recovery rate of *Mycoplasma* from apparently healthy and diseased living cattle.

Sites	Apparently heal	thy	diseased		total		
	N= <i>Mycoplasma</i> %		N= Mycoplasma	%	N= Mycoplasma	%	
	isolates		isolates		isolates		
Nasal swabs	2	16.6	15	62.5	17	47.2	
Buccal	2	40	6	60	8	53	
swabs							
Ocular	1	25-	4	66	5	50	
Total	5	23.8	25	62.5	30	49	

Table (3) Recovery rate of *Mycoplasma* from apparently healthy and diseased slaughtered cattle

sites	Apparently healt	hy	diseased		Total		
	N= <i>Mycoplasma</i> %		N= Mycoplasma	%	N=Mycoplasma	%	
	isolates		isolates		isolates		
Lung	3	27.2	18	62	21	52.5	
Trachea	2	33.3	6	75	8	57	
Bronchial	1	16.7	5	62.5	6	42.8	
lymph node							
Total	6	26	29	64	35	51.4	

Table (4): Serotyping of *Mycoplasma* isolates

Biochemical group		Corresponding antisera	Results	
	isolates		positive	%
Group1	14	M. bovirhinis	10	15.3
		M. bovoculi	4	6.15
Group2	23	M. arginini	21	32.3
		untyped	2	
Group3	28	M. bovis	27	41.5
		M. bovigenitalium	1	1.5
Total	65		·	

Table (5): distribution of Mycoplasma isolated from cattle														
Animal Status	Type of sample	N= samp le	-	<i>plasm</i> lated										
		exam ined	N=	%	M. bovi			M. arginini		M. bovirhini s		M. bovoculi		igenitaliu
					N =	%	N =	%	N =	%	N =	%	n o	%
Living	Nasal swab	101	17	4.72	9	13. 8	6	9.2	2	3.7	-	0	-	0
	Buccal swab	43	8	2.2	1	1.5	3	4.6	3	4.6	1	1.5	-	0
	Ocular swab	36	5	1.38	-	0	1	1.5	-	0	3	4.6	-	0
Slaught ered	Lung samples	100	21	5.83	1 1	16. 9	6	9.2	4	6.1	-	0	1	1.5
	trachea	40	8	2.2	3	4.6	4	6.1 5	1	1.5	-	0	-	0
	Bronchia l LN	40	6	1.67	3	4.6	1	1.5	-	0	-	0	-	0
Total		360	65	18	2 7	41. 5	2	32. 3	1 0	15. 3	4	6.1 5	1	1.5

Table (5): distribution of *Mycoplasma* isolated from cattle

## REFERENCE

- 1-Clyde, W.A. (1964): Growth inhibition test. Method in Mycoplasmology. Vol. 1 405, Academic Press, New York.
- 2-Eissa, S.I.; Moussa, S.Z.; Hannaa, A.A. and Sahar, A.E. (2007): "Oxidative stress and immunosupression induced by *Mycoplasma* infection in cattle." Egypt. J. Bioch. Mole. Biol., 25: Special issue, 62-77.
- 3-Eissa, S.I.; El Shatter, S. A. And Darer, M.A.A (1998): efficacy of quinolones and aminoglycosides against bovine and ovine *Mycoplasma*. Egy. J. Agri. Res., 77(3)1361-1369.
- 4-El Shabiny,L.M.;Abo Elmakarem ,M. And Nada ,H.S. (1999):*Mycoplasma* isolated from cattle lungs and their pathogencity studies. Egy. J.Agri.Res.,77(1)421-431
- 5-El shafey Dina,Y (2005) Advanced studies on *Mycoplasma bovis* in cattle. Ph.D..Thesis(infectious diseases)Fac.Vet.Med.Cairo University
- 6-El shafey, Dina,Y (2000) some studies on mycoplasmosis in cattle.M.V.Sc.thesis(infectious diseases)Fac.Vet.Med.Cairo Univeristy.
- 7-El Zahby,Rania,A (2010) the use of molecular biological techniques for diagnosis of cattle *Mycoplasma* in Delta-Egypt. Ph. D..Thesis (Microbiology) Fac. Vet.Med.Zagzig University.
- 8-El-Ebeedy, A.A.; Gad, A.S.; Rashwan, A.; Moustapha, A.; El-Ahli, S.S.; Ismail, S. and Allam, N.M. (1985): Isolation of bovine mastitis in Egypt. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass., 45 (1): 247-253.
- 9-Erno, H. and Stipkovits, L. (1973): Bovine *Mycoplasma* cultural and biochemical studies. Acta. Vet. Scand. 14, 450-463.
- 10-Francoz, D. m.; Fortin, G. Fecteau and Messier (2005):Determination of *Mycoplasma* bovis susceptibilities against six antimicrobial agents using the E test method. Vet. Microbiol., 105: 57-64.

- 11-Freundt, E.A. (1973). Principles of *Mycoplasma* classification. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 225: 713.
- 12-Gagea MI, Bateman KG, Van Dreumel T, McEwen BJ, Carman S,Archambault M, Shanahan RA, Caswell JL (2006): Diseases and pathogens associated with mortality in Ontario beef feedlots. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation 18, 18–28
- 13-Gerchman, I.; Levisohn, S.; Mikula, I. and Lysnyansky. I. (2009): In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Mycoplasma* bovis isolated in Israel from local and imported cattle. Vet. Microbiol., 12;137(3-4):268-75.
- 14-Gourlay ,R.,A. Mactkkenzie and Cooper . 1970 studies of microbiology of pneumonic lungs of calves.J . Comp.Pathol.,80 :575-580
- 15-Hashem,Y.H.M.(2008): Comparative study between conventional and recent techniques used for diagnosis of *Mycoplasma* infection in farm animals in Egypt and Ethiopia. Ph. D. Thesis (Department of Natural Resouces) Inst. Of Afric. Res. And Stu. Cairo University.
- 16-Liberal, M.H.T.1989 detection of mycoplasmosis in bovine herds by the isolation of *Mycoplasma* bovis from nasal exudates.Rev.Microb.,20:296-302.
- 17-Mohamed, Aliaa, A., (2002): Some bacteriological and mycoplasmological studies on respiratory tract infection in buffaloes and cows. M.V.Sc.Thesis (Microbiology) Fac. Vet.Med.Zagzig Univeristy.
- 18-Mosherf ,b,s. (1997) bacterial and fungal causes of pneumonia in buffalo-calves M.V.Sc.thesis .Fac.Vet.Med.Cairo University
- 19-Moustafa , Sherein ,S (2008): the application of PCR for diagnosis of *Mycoplasma* infection in cows and buffaloes in some Delta Governorates. . Ph. D.:Thesis (Microbiology) Fac. Vet.Med.Zagzig University.
- 20-Nicholas, R.A and Ayling, R.D (2003): *Mycoplasma bovis:* disease, diagnosis, and control. Res. Vet. Sci 4:105–112.
- 21-Nicolet ,J and P.A. DeMeuron. (1970): isolation and characterization of *Mycoplasma* in the calf pneumoentritis syndrome . zentbl . vet. Med. 17 B:1031-1042
- 22-Razin, S .1985 Molecular biology and genetics of *Mycoplasmas* (mollicutes) Microb.Rev.1985:7: 3963-70.
- 23-Sabry ,M.Z. and Ahmed ,A.A.(1975): Evalution of culture procedures for primary isolation of *Mycoplasmas* from female genitalia of farm animals.J , Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass., 35: 18-34.
- 24-Tenk M (2005): Examination of *Mycoplasma* bovis infection in cattle. [Doctoral Thesis.]Budapest, 70 pp.
- 24-Thomas, A.; Nicolas, C.; Dizier, I.; Mainil, J. and Linden, A. (2003): Antibiotic susceptibilities of recent isolates of *Mycoplasma bovis* in Belgium. Vet. Rec., 153(14): 428-31.
- 25-Wiggins MC, Woolums AR, Sanchez S, *et al.* 2007; Prevalence of *Mycoplasma bovis* in backgrounding and stocker cattle operations. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2007; 230: 1514–1518.